UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

DAKOTA RESOURCE COUNCIL,) .	
a non-profit North Dakota corporation,)	
)	No. 04-1994
Petitioner,)	
)	
VS.)	JOINT STIPULATION OF
)	DISMISSAL
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
an agency of the United States,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
,	_)	

On April 26, 2004, Petitioner Dakota Resource Council filed a petition in this Court challenging the February 24, 2004, 'Memorandum of Understanding Between the State of North Dakota and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency' (the ('MOU'). Petitioner filed this petition for review as a protective matter within the time-frame specified by section 307(b) of the Clean Air Act ('CAA'), 42 U.S.C. 7607(b).

EPA maintains that the MOU is not a 'final' action within the meaning of the judicial review provisions of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7607, and the Administrative Procedure Act ('APA'), 5 U.S.C. § 704, and therefore the Court lacks jurisdiction to hear Petitioner's claim. EPA further maintains that its position is consistent with Bennett v. Spear, 520 U.S. 154 (1997), in that the MOU does not represent the consummation of the agency's decisionmaking process and does not determine rights or obligations.

Based on the foregoing, EPA agrees that it will not claim in any future action that the MOU is a final action that Petitioner Dakota Resource Council should have challenged within the applicable time for review set forth in CAA section 307(b). For the same reason, EPA agrees that

it will neither raise Petitioner's failure to pursue this petition for review challenging the MOU in any future action, nor claim that this petition, or Petitioner's agreement not to pursue this petition, prejudices Petitioner's right to challenge any future agency action.

Because EPA agrees not to assert in the future that the MOU is a final agency action as set forth above, Petitioner agrees to the stipulated dismissal of this action, without prejudice.

The Parties have contacted counsel for Intervenor North Dakota regarding this stipulation of dismissal and North Dakota has no objections.

Each party shall bear its own costs.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR RESPONDENT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:

THOMAS L. SANSONETTI

Assistant Attorney General

Environment and Natural Resources Division

Dated: 6/18/04

By:

DANIEL W. PLAKSTON

THOMAS A. LORENZEN

Environmental Defense Section

Environment and Natural Resources Division

U.S. Dept. of Justice

999-18th Street, Suite 945 North

Denver, Colorado 80202

Phone: (303) 312-7397

Fax: (303) 312-7331

Email: daniel.pinkston@usdoj.gov

FOR PETITIONER DAKOTA RESOURCE COUNCIL:

Dated: 4/18/04

KESD ZANS

REED ZARS

Attorney at Law 910 Kearney St. Laramie, Wyoming 82070 Phone: (307) 745-7979 Fax: (307) 745-7999

MARK HUGHES
Two Rivers Institute
7150 Montview Blvd.
Denver, CO 80220
Phone: 303-264-3002
Fax: 303-264-3003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 18th day of June 2004, I caused copies of the foregoing Joint Stipulation of Dismissal to be served, by first-class mail, on the following:

REED ZARS
Attorney at Law
910 Kearney St.
Laramie, Wyoming 82070

MARK HUGHES Two Rivers Institute 7150 Montview Blvd. Denver, CO 80220

LYLE WITHEM
State of North Dakota
Attorney General's Office
500 N. Ninth Street
Bismarck, ND 58505

Thomas A. Lorenzen